

Remarks/Arguments:

The above Amendments and these Remarks are in reply to the Office Action mailed November 16, 2007.

New corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR § 1.121(d) are required. Corrected drawings are hereby being filed with this response.

Claims 22-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112.

Claims 1-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over IBM's "Portalizing Domino Applications for WebShere Portal" (Tommi Tulisalo, Portalizing Domino Applications for WebShere Portal, 1st Edition, September 2003, hereinafter IBM).

The present Response amends the Drawings and Claims 22-24, leaving for the Examiner's present consideration Claims 1-24. Reconsideration of the rejections is respectfully requested.

I. Claim Rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 112

In the Office Action, Claims 22-24 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112 for containing a nebulous term. Accordingly, Claims 22-24 have been amended as shown above. Reconsideration thereof is respectfully requested.

II. Claim Rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 103

In the Office Action, Claims 1-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over IBM (Tommi Tulisalo, Portalizing Domino Applications for WebShere Portal, 1st Edition, September 2003).

Applicants submit that the present Application 10/733,989 has a priority date of December 12, 2002 based on the domestic priority to the Provisional Application 60/432,749, and that this priority date is earlier than the publication date of September 2003 of IBM (Tommi Tulisalo, Portalizing Domino Applications for WebShere Portal, 1st Edition, September 2003).

Applicants respectfully request that IBM be removed as prior art. Reconsideration thereof is respectfully requested.

III. Conclusion

In light of the above, it is respectfully submitted that all of the claims now pending in the subject patent application should be allowable, and a Notice of Allowance is requested. The Examiner is respectfully requested to telephone the undersigned if he can assist in any way in expediting issuance of a patent.

Enclosed is a PETITION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.136 for extending the time to respond up to and including March 16, 2008.

The Commissioner is authorized to charge any underpayment or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 06-1325 for any matter in connection with this response, including any fee for extension of time, which may be required.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: March 12, 2008

By: /Joseph P. O'Malley/
Joseph P. O'Malley
Reg. No. 36,226

FLIESLER MEYER LLP
650 California Street, 14th Floor
San Francisco, California 94108
Telephone: (415) 362-3800